



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,502	12/19/2000	Theodore S. Hills	TAJ-0001	5730

23413 7590 12/09/2004

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

EXAMINER


VU, TUAN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2124

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/741,502	HILLS, THEODORE S. 	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan A Vu	2124	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A Vu.

(3) Hill, T.

(2) Anil, Khatri.

(4) Dubuc, M.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6-16.


Identification of prior art discussed: Boldt.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has expressed the nature of the invention in a different light than what has been perceived from the main prior art/reference used in the rejection, namely in regards to the 'abstract data type'. Examiner has brought up the broadness nature of the claim language that was the cause of the interpretation. Also pointed out was that there is no statutory subject matter in the way descriptive elements are recited, i.e. without the presence of any action taken in order to achieve a program developing process; and that more improvements need to be in place for the claims to overcome not only the concept of ADT from the reference by Boldt but also to instill some purposeful steps in order to make the invention appear more as an inventive way to generate compiled code as opposed to just a metadata describing method just like Boldt's. Also suggested was that a continued Examination submission would be a good step to reactivate the case and that Applicant can go over the teachings from the specifications to add more specific features to the process of making use of the abstract type which Applicant deems to be essential to his invention..